## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/785,203	ATTA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Natherine Moran	3763		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 January 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FINOT REPLY WAS FIL	LED WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two month:	s of the date of	
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
AMENDMENTS		90 Ch Can - d h -		
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	•	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for	
appeal; and/or	a rra an anding number of finally rais	atad alaima		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	04. Con attached Nation of Nan Con		DTOL 204)	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpilant Amendment (i	PTOL-324).	
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ol>		limaly filed amondmar	ot concoling the	
non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_	
7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	• •			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>18,19,33-39, 44-47,61-64,66 (see below</u>	<u>')</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>59</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-17,55-58: Banks '208 ivo Yashiro</u> ' <u>55</u>	51			
Claim(s) rejected: 13-17,00-30. Barks 2001/0 Yashino 35.	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
	/Katherine Moran/			
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3765		

**Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)** 

Application No.

Claim 59 is objected to: see last line of claim "includes away". There appears to be text missing from this recitation. Claim 66 is objected to because it depends from cancelled claim 65.

With regard to Applicant's remarks regarding the rejections under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Banks ivo Yashiro, Applicant submits that Banks does not teach an adhesive that secures an eyepiece to the skin of a user to form a water-tight seal around the eye. The Examiner disagrees and submits that Banks' double-sided adhesive is disclosed as a medical grade adhesive material that causes secure adhesion to the skin but is easily removed from the skin when necessary. Applicant's specification discloses the double-sided adhesive tape material could be made from Bioflex TM which is a medical grade pressure sensitive adhesive material that provides desired adhesion, yet is easily removed from the skin without irritation to the skin layer. Thus, Applicant's adhesive appears to be equivalent to that taught by Banks in that Applicant has not further defined the structure or composition of the adhesive material to define over Banks' adhesive would provide a water-tight seal.

The 35 USC 112, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph rejections have been obviated.